arXiv:hep-ph/9809281v1 5 Sep 1998

Comment on the absence of Coulomb effects on e^+e^- pair production in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions

D. Yu. Ivanov[1*], A. Schiller[2†], and V. G. Serbo[3‡]
[1]*Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia*
[2]*Institut für Theoretische Physik and NTZ, Universität Leipzig, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany*
[3]*Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia*

September 5, 1998

Abstract

In recent works [4]-[6] it was claimed that the Coulomb correction to the e^+e^- production cross section in relativistic heavy-ion collisions is absent. We point out that this statement is in obvious contradiction to some well known results. We obtain large Coulomb corrections in the pair production cross section for the RHIC and LHC heavy-ion colliders.

Two new large colliders with relativistic heavy nuclei, RHIC and LHC, are scheduled to be in operation in the nearest future. The charge number of the nuclei $Z_1 = Z_2 = Z$ and their Lorentz factors $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma$ are $Z = 79$ and $\gamma = 108$ for RHIC (Au-Au collisions) and $Z = 82$ and $\gamma = 3000$ for LHC (Pb-Pb collisions). One of the important processes at these colliders is

$$Z_1 Z_2 \to Z_1 Z_2 e^+ e^- \,. \tag{1}$$

Its cross section is huge and in the Born approximation the total cross section is equal to [1, 2]

$$\sigma_{\rm Born} = \frac{28}{27\pi}\,\frac{Z^4\alpha^4}{m_e^2}\left(L^3 - \frac{178}{28}L^2 + \frac{7\pi^2+370}{28}L - 13.8\right), \quad L = \ln\left(4\gamma^2\right). \tag{2}$$

Therefore, $\sigma_{\rm Born} = 36$ kb for RHIC and 227 kb for LHC and this process should be considered as a serious background for a number of planned experiments. Besides, the process (1) is also important for the determination of the beam lifetime. The pair production,

*Email address: d-ivanov@math.nsc.ru
†Email address: schiller@tph204.physik.uni-leipzig.de
‡Email address: serbo@math.nsc.ru

accompanied by the capture of an electron on the atomic orbit, is the leading beam loss mechanism. It means that the differential and total cross sections of this process should be known with a good accuracy.

Since in heavy-ion collisions the expansion parameter $Z\alpha \sim 1$, the whole series in this parameter has to be summed in order to obtain the correct cross section. Following Ref. [3], we define the Coulomb correction (CC) as the difference $d\sigma_{\rm Coul}$ between the whole sum $d\sigma$ and the Born approximation

$$d\sigma = d\sigma_{\rm Born} + d\sigma_{\rm Coul}\,. \tag{3}$$

Recently, in Refs. [4]-[6] these Coulomb effects were studied using an approximate solution of the Dirac equation. These authors argue that the complete result (3) coincides with that of the Born contribution called perturbative result. In other words, the whole amplitude of process (1) is equal to the Born amplitude up to a phase factor depending on $Z\alpha$. In those papers the symmetric case $Z_1 = Z_2$ was considered, but the authors of [5, 6] also argue that their results are trivially extended to the asymmetric case $Z_1 \neq Z_2$. In the latter case their conclusion about this amplitude behavior remains valid.

In this comment we point out that these conclusions are in contradiction with well known results about CC.

Remind that in the photoproduction of e^+e^- pairs on nuclei (see Refs. [3], §32.2 of [7] and §98 of [8]) the Coulomb correction to the total cross section decreases the Born contribution by about 10 % for a Pb target. It is worthwhile to note that the correct result is obtained only taking into account $1/\gamma$ corrections in the asymptotic expressions for the lepton wave functions. In a recent paper [9] these results have been reproduced summing the whole perturbative series in $Z\alpha$.

For the lepton pair production in the collisions of two nuclei with $Z_1\alpha \ll 1$ and $Z_2\alpha \sim 1$ the Coulomb correction has been obtained in Refs. [10, 11]. Recently, we and Kuraev [12] have calculated CC for the e^+e^- pair production in the collisions of muons with heavy nuclei. All mentioned results agree with each other and noticeably change the Born cross sections.

The most transparent example is the collision of ultrarelativistic light ($Z_1\alpha \ll 1$) and heavy ions in the region of small momentum transfer squared for the light ion $-q_1^2 \ll m_e^2$. In this case the cross section (3) is given as the product of the number of equivalent photons generated by the light ion $dn_{\gamma/Z_1}(\omega, q_1^2)$ with the cross section for the process $\gamma Z_2 \to Z_2 e^+e^-$:

$$d\sigma_{Z_1Z_2\to Z_1Z_2e^+e^-} = dn_{\gamma/Z_1}(\omega, q_1^2) d\sigma_{\gamma Z_2\to Z_2e^+e^-}(\omega)\,, \tag{4}$$

ω is the energy of the equivalent photons. We want to stress that $d\sigma_{\gamma Z_2\to Z_2e^+e^-}(\omega)$ calculated in Ref. [3] differs considerably from the Born cross section for photoproduction and, therefore, the cross section (3) differs from $d\sigma_{\rm Born}$.

Based on the well grounded approach used in Ref. [12] we have calculated the Coulomb correction to the e^+e^- pair production in relativistic heavy-ion collisions [13]. With an accuracy of the order of 1% we find

$$\sigma_{\rm Coul}/\sigma_{\rm Born} = 25\,\%\ \text{ for }\ \text{RHIC}\,, \quad \sigma_{\rm Coul}/\sigma_{\rm Born} = 14\,\%\ \text{ for }\ \text{LHC} \tag{5}$$

in clear contradiction to [4]-[6].

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to G. Baur, V. Fadin, I. Ginzburg and Yu. Dokshitzer for useful discussions.

References

[1] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, Sov. Phys. **6** 244 (1934).

[2] G. Racah, Nuovo Cim. **14**, 93 (1937).

[3] H. Bethe, L.C. Maximon, Phys. Rev. **93**, 768 (1954); H. Davies, H. Bethe, L.C. Maximon, Phys. Rev. **93**, 788 (1954).

[4] B. Segev and J.C. Wells, Phys. Rev. **A 57**, 1849 (1998).

[5] A.J. Baltz, L. McLerran, nucl-th/9804042.

[6] U. Eichmann, J. Reinhardt, S. Schramm, W. Greiner, nucl-th/9804064; U. Eichmann, J. Reinhardt, W. Greiner, nucl-th/9806031.

[7] A.I. Akhiezer, V.B. Berestetskii, Quantum Electrodynamics (Nauka, Moscow 1981).

[8] V.B. Berestetskii, E.M. Lifshitz, L.B. Pitaevskii, Quantum Electrodynamics (Nauka, Moscow, 1989).

[9] D. Ivanov, K. Melnikov, Phys. Rev. **D 57**, 4025 (1998).

[10] A.I. Nikishov, N.V. Pichkurov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **35**, 561 (1982).

[11] C.A. Bertulani, G. Baur, Phys. Rep. **163**, 299 (1988).

[12] D.Yu. Ivanov, E.A. Kuraev, A. Schiller, V.G. Serbo, Leipzig preprint UL-NTZ 14/98, hep-ph/9807311.

[13] D.Yu. Ivanov, A. Schiller, V.G. Serbo, in preparation.